

Dunajski generalization of the second heavenly equation: dressing method and the hierarchy

L.V. Bogdanov*, V.S. Dryuma†, S.V. Manakov‡

February 5, 2008

Abstract

Dunajski generalization of the second heavenly equation is studied. A dressing scheme applicable to Dunajski equation is developed, an example of constructing solutions in terms of implicit functions is considered. Dunajski equation hierarchy is described, its Lax-Sato form is presented. Dunajski equation hierarchy is characterized by conservation of three-dimensional volume form, in which a spectral variable is taken into account.

1 Dunajski equation

A preliminary sketch of the results presented here was given in the preprint [1].

In this work we study an integrable model proposed by Dunajski [2]. This model is a representative of the class of integrable systems arising in the context of complex relativity [3]-[7]. It is closely connected to the Plebański second heavenly equation [3] and in some sense generalizes it. The starting point is a (2,2) signature metric in canonical Plebański form

$$g = dw dx + dz dy - \Theta_{xx} dz^2 - \Theta_{yy} dw^2 + 2\Theta_{xy} dw dz. \quad (1)$$

Vacuum Einstein equations and conformal anti-self-duality (ASD) condition for this metric lead to the celebrated Plebański second heavenly equation [3],

$$\Theta_{wx} + \Theta_{zy} + \Theta_{xx}\Theta_{yy} - \Theta_{xy}^2 = 0.$$

*L.D. Landau ITP, Kosygin str. 2, Moscow 119334, Russia, e-mail leonid@landau.ac.ru

†IMI AS RM, Academy str,5, MD2001, Kishinev, Moldova

‡L.D. Landau ITP, Kosygin str. 2, Moscow 119334, Russia

This equation is one most known integrable models of 4D selfdual gravity.

Dunajski has demonstrated that omitting one of the conditions (Einstein equations) and imposing only conformal anti-self-duality, one still gets an integrable system, which can be written as

$$\Theta_{wx} + \Theta_{zy} + \Theta_{xx}\Theta_{yy} - \Theta_{xy}^2 = f, \quad (2)$$

$$f_{xw} + f_{yz} + \Theta_{yy}f_{xx} + \Theta_{xx}f_{yy} - 2\Theta_{xy}f_{xy} = 0. \quad (3)$$

Equations (2), (3) represent a compatibility condition for the linear system $L_0\Psi = L_1\Psi = 0$, where $\Psi = \Psi(w, z, x, y, \lambda)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= (\partial_w - \Theta_{xy}\partial_y + \Theta_{yy}\partial_x) - \lambda\partial_y + f_y\partial_\lambda, \\ L_1 &= (\partial_z + \Theta_{xx}\partial_y - \Theta_{xy}\partial_x) + \lambda\partial_x - f_x\partial_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

A similar model, corresponding to the first heavenly equation, was introduced in [8]. It was demonstrated that a volume-preserving Riemann-Hilbert problem is connected with the model [9].

In the context of integrable systems, the place of Dunajski equation is in the class of integrable equations connected with commutation of vector fields. Important and extensively studied representatives of this class are dispersionless integrable equations, and also heavenly equations and hyper-Kähler hierarchies [10, 11]. Dunajski equation combines the properties of both cases. Similar to dispersionless integrable systems (and opposite to heavenly type equations), it contains a derivative over spectral parameter in the Lax pair (4). Connections of Dunajski equation with the theory of ordinary differential equations were considered in [12].

In this work we study Dunajski equation (2), (3) as an integrable system. The dressing method based on nonlinear volume-preserving Riemann-Hilbert problem is developed and used to construct special solutions. Dunajski equation hierarchy is described in terms of volume form, its Lax-Sato equations are presented. Compatibility of the flows of the hierarchy is proved.

2 Dressing scheme

Let us consider nonlinear vector Riemann problem of the form

$$\Psi_+ = \mathbf{F}(\Psi_-), \quad (5)$$

where Ψ_+ , Ψ_- denote the boundary values of the N-component vector function on the sides of some oriented curve γ in the complex plane of the variable

λ . The problem is to find the function analytic outside the curve with some fixed behavior at infinity (normalization) which satisfies (5). The problem of this type was used by Takasaki [9, 10, 11], who stressed its connection to Penrose nonlinear graviton construction. The problem (5) is connected with a class of integrable equations, which can be represented as a commutation relation for vector fields containing a derivative on the spectral variable (see [13, 14, 15]). We give a sketch of the dressing scheme associated with this problem.

The more specific setting relevant for Dunajski equation is the following. We consider three-component Riemann problem (5)

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_+^0 &= F^0(\Psi_-^0, \Psi_-^1, \Psi_-^2), \\ \Psi_+^1 &= F^1(\Psi_-^0, \Psi_-^1, \Psi_-^2), \\ \Psi_+^2 &= F^2(\Psi_-^0, \Psi_-^1, \Psi_-^2)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

for the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi^0 &\rightarrow \lambda + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \\ \Psi^1 &\rightarrow -\lambda z + x + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \\ \Psi^2 &\rightarrow \lambda w + y + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where x, y, w, z are the variables of Dunajski equation ('times'). We suggest that for given \mathbf{F} solution of the problem (6) for the functions (7) exists and is unique (at least locally in x, y, w, z).

Let us consider a linearized problem

$$\delta\Psi_+^i = \sum_{j=0,1,2} F_{,j}^i \delta\Psi_-^j.$$

The linear space of solutions of this problem is spanned by the functions $\Psi_x, \Psi_y, \Psi_\lambda$, which can be multiplied by arbitrary function of spectral variable and times. The presence of Ψ_λ in the basis is the main difference between the dressing schemes for the heavenly equation [13, 16] and Dunajski equation.

Expanding the functions Ψ_z, Ψ_w into the basis, we obtain linear equations

$$\begin{aligned}((\partial_w + u_y \partial_y + v_y \partial_x) - \lambda \partial_y + f_y \partial_\lambda) \Psi &= 0, \\ ((\partial_z - u_x \partial_y - v_x \partial_x) + \lambda \partial_x - f_x \partial_\lambda) \Psi &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where u, v, f can be expressed through the coefficients of expansion of Ψ^0, Ψ^1, Ψ^2 at $\lambda = \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \Psi_1^2 - w\Psi_1^0, & v &= \Psi_1^1 + z\Psi_1^0, & f &= \Psi_1^0, \\ \Psi^0 &= \lambda + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_n^0}{\lambda^n}, & \Psi^1 &= -z\lambda + x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_n^1}{\lambda^n}, \\ \Psi^2 &= w\lambda + y + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Psi_n^2}{\lambda^n}, & \lambda &= \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The compatibility condition for equations (8) represents a closed system of equations for three functions u, v, f , and solutions to this system can be found using the problem (6).

To get a Lax pair for Dunajski equation from linear equations (8), we should consider the reduction $v_x = -u_y$, then we can introduce a potential Θ ,

$$v = \Theta_y, \quad u = -\Theta_x. \quad (10)$$

Proposition 1 *Sufficient condition to provide the reduction*

$$v_x = -u_y$$

in terms of the Riemann problem (6) is

$$\det F_{,j}^i = 1. \quad (11)$$

Proof Condition (11) implies that

$$d\Psi_+^0 \wedge d\Psi_+^1 \wedge d\Psi_+^2 = d\Psi_-^0 \wedge d\Psi_-^1 \wedge d\Psi_-^2,$$

and thus the form

$$\Omega = d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge d\Psi^2$$

is analytic in the complex plane. Then the determinant of the matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

is also analytic, and, considering behavior of this determinant at $\lambda = \infty$, we come to the conclusion that

$$\det J = 1.$$

Calculating the coefficient of expansion of $\det J$ at $\lambda = \infty$ corresponding to λ^{-1} , we get

$$\Psi_{1x}^1 + z\Psi_{1x}^0 + \Psi_{1y}^2 - w\Psi_{1y}^0 = 0,$$

then, according to (9),

$$v_x = -u_y.$$

□

2.1 An example

Now let us consider a simple example of constructing solution to Dunajski equation using problem (6). We introduce the problem of the form

$$\Psi_+^1 = \Psi_-^1, \quad (13)$$

$$\Psi_+^2 = \Psi_-^2 \exp(-iF(\Psi_-^2 \Psi_-^0, \Psi_-^1)), \quad (14)$$

$$\Psi_+^0 = \Psi_-^0 \exp(iF(\Psi_-^2 \Psi_-^0, \Psi_-^1)), \quad (15)$$

defined on the real axis (or a segment of it), where F is an arbitrary function of two variables.

It is easy to check that the reduction condition (11) is indeed satisfied in this case. Equation (13) implies that $\Psi^1 = -\lambda z + x$. Substituting this solution to linear equations (8) (or using expression (9)), we obtain $v = zf$.

The second important property of the problem (13), (14), (15) we use is that $\Psi_-^2 \Psi_-^0 = \Psi_+^2 \Psi_+^0$, thus the function $\phi = \Psi^2 \Psi^0$ is analytic. Then, taking into account behaviour of functions at infinity (7), we come to the conclusion that ϕ is a polynomial of the form

$$\phi = \Psi^2 \Psi^0 = \lambda^2 w + \lambda y + 2fw + u. \quad (16)$$

Equation (15) now reads

$$\Psi_+^0 = \Psi_-^0 \exp(iF(\phi, -\lambda z + x)).$$

The solution to this equation looks like

$$\Psi^0 = \lambda \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{d\lambda'}{\lambda - \lambda'} F(\phi(\lambda'), -\lambda' z + x)\right).$$

Considering the expansion of this expression in λ , we obtain the equations

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} F(\phi(\lambda), -\lambda z + x) d\lambda = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \lambda F(\phi(\lambda), -\lambda z + x) d\lambda = f. \quad (18)$$

Taking into account expression (16), we come to the conclusion that these equations define the functions u , f as implicit functions. Solution to Dunajski equation is then defined by the relations

$$\Theta_x = -u, \Theta_y = zf.$$

Thus we have obtained a solution to Dunajski equation, depending on arbitrary function of two variables, in terms of implicit functions.

Functional dependence on the function of two variables indicates that the solution we have constructed corresponds to some (2+1)-dimensional reduction of Dunajski equation. It is possible to find the reduced equations explicitly, using the fact that linear equations (4) have analytic (polynomial) solutions ϕ and $-\lambda z + x$. Substituting these solutions to linear problems (4) and using relations (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_w - \Theta_{xy}\partial_y + \Theta_{yy}\partial_x)(2wf - \Theta_y) + yf_y &= 0, \\ (\partial_z + \Theta_{xx}\partial_y - \Theta_{xy}\partial_x)(2wf - \Theta_y) - yf_x &= 0, \\ zf &= \Theta_y. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

3 Dunajski equation hierarchy

The framework developed here is closely connected with the framework of hyper-Kähler hierarchy developed by Takasaki [10, 11], see also [16, 17]. Though there are some essential differences (the volume form is used instead of symplectic form, the spectral variable is included to the form), the technique and ideas of the proofs are very similar. We should also mention an integrable generalization of the first heavenly equation proposed by Park [8] and studied by Takasaki [9], connected with volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. However, the hierarchy for this model was not considered. Later a kind of volume preserving hierarchy was introduced in [18], but the hierarchy described in our work doesn't coincide with it.

To define Dunajski equation hierarchy, we consider three formal series, depending on two infinite sets of additional variables ('times')

$$\Psi^0 = \lambda + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^0(\mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2)\lambda^{-n}, \tag{20}$$

$$\Psi^1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^1(\Psi^0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^1(\mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2)(\Psi^0)^{-n} \tag{21}$$

$$\Psi^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^2(\Psi^0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Psi_n^2(\mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2)(\Psi^0)^{-n}, \tag{22}$$

where $\mathbf{t}^1 = (t_0^1, \dots, t_n^1, \dots)$, $\mathbf{t}^2 = (t_0^2, \dots, t_n^2, \dots)$. We denote $x = t_0^1$, $y = t_0^2$, $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^0 \\ \Psi^1 \\ \Psi^2 \end{pmatrix}$, $\partial_n^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^1}$, $\partial_n^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n^2}$ and introduce the projectors $(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_n \lambda^n)_+ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n \lambda^n$, $(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_n \lambda^n)_- = \sum_{-\infty}^{n=-1} u_n \lambda^n$.
Dunajski equation hierarchy is defined by the relation

$$(d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge d\Psi^2)_- = 0, \quad (23)$$

where the differential includes both times and a spectral variable,

$$df = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial_n^1 f dt_n^1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \partial_n^2 f dt_n^2 + \partial_\lambda f d\lambda.$$

This is a crucial difference with the heavenly equation hierarchy, where only the times are taken into account. Relation (23) plays a role similar to the role of the famous Hirota bilinear identity for KP hierarchy. This relation is equivalent to the Lax-Sato form of Dunajski equation hierarchy.

Proposition 2 *Relation (23) is equivalent to the set of equations*

$$\partial_n^1 \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{1i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^n)_+ \partial_i \Psi, \quad (24)$$

$$\partial_n^2 \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{2i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^n)_+ \partial_i \Psi, \quad (25)$$

$$\det J = 1, \quad (26)$$

where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

$$\partial_0 = \partial_\lambda, \quad \partial_1 = \partial_x, \quad \partial_2 = \partial_y.$$

The proof of (23) \Rightarrow hierarchy (24,25,26) is based on the following statement.

Lemma 1 *Given identity (23), for arbitrary first order operator \hat{U} ,*

$$\hat{U} \Psi = \left(\sum_i (u_i^1(\lambda, \mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2) \partial_i^1 + u_i^2(\lambda, \mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2) \partial_i^2) + u^0(\lambda, \mathbf{t}^1, \mathbf{t}^2) \partial_\lambda \right) \Psi$$

with ‘plus’ coefficients $((u_i^1)_- = (u_i^2)_- = u_-^0 = 0)$, the condition $(\hat{U} \Psi)_+ = 0$ (for Ψ^1 and Ψ^2 modulo the derivatives of Ψ^0) implies that $\hat{U} \Psi = 0$.

Proof First, relation (23) implies that

$$(\det J)_- = 0,$$

and, using expansions (20), (21), (22), we get

$$\det J = (\det J)_+ = 1.$$

Then, using relation (23) we obtain (we use $|A|$ for $\det A$)

$$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix}_- = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix}_- = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \end{vmatrix}_- = 0$$

On the other hand, condition $(\hat{U}\Psi)_+ = 0$, taking into account expansions (20), (21), (22), implies that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix}_+ = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix}_+ = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \end{vmatrix}_+ = 0$$

Thus, we come to the conclusion that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \hat{U}\Psi^0 & \hat{U}\Psi^1 & \hat{U}\Psi^2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

This set of relations represents a linear system for $\hat{U}\Psi$,

$$J_{\text{tr}}^{-1}\hat{U}\Psi = 0,$$

and taking into account that $\det J = 1$, the only solution to it is $\hat{U}\Psi = 0$.
□

The proof of the statement (23) \Rightarrow hierarchy (24,25,26) is then straightforward, using simple relations

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{1i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^n) \partial_i \Psi^k &= \delta_{1k} (\Psi^0)^n, \\ \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{2i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^n) \partial_i \Psi^k &= \delta_{2k} (\Psi^0)^n. \end{aligned}$$

The statement (24,25,26) \Rightarrow (23) directly follows from the relation

Lemma 2

$$\begin{vmatrix} \partial_{\tau_0} \Psi^0 & \partial_{\tau_0} \Psi^1 & \partial_{\tau_0} \Psi^2 \\ \partial_{\tau_1} \Psi^0 & \partial_{\tau_1} \Psi^1 & \partial_{\tau_1} \Psi^2 \\ \partial_{\tau_2} \Psi^0 & \partial_{\tau_2} \Psi^1 & \partial_{\tau_2} \Psi^2 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} V_{\tau_0+}^0 & V_{\tau_0+}^1 & V_{\tau_0+}^2 \\ V_{\tau_1+}^0 & V_{\tau_1+}^1 & V_{\tau_1+}^2 \\ V_{\tau_2+}^0 & V_{\tau_2+}^1 & V_{\tau_2+}^2 \end{vmatrix} \quad (28)$$

where τ_0, τ_1, τ_2 is an arbitrary set of three times of the hierarchy (24,25,26), and $V_{\tau+}^i$ are the coefficients of corresponding vector fields given by the r.h.s. of equations (24,25),

$$\partial_{\tau_j} \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} V_{\tau_j+}^i \partial_i \Psi.$$

Proof

$$\det(\partial_{\tau_j} \Psi^k) = \det\left(\sum_{i=0,1,2} V_{\tau_j+}^i \partial_i \Psi^k\right) = \det(V_{\tau_j+}^i) \cdot \det J = \det(V_{\tau_j+}^i)$$

□

To complete the picture, we will also prove compatibility of equations of the hierarchy (24,25,26).

Proposition 3 *The flows of the hierarchy (24,25) commute and the condition $\det J = 1$ (26) is preserved by the dynamics.*

Proof Let us consider, e.g., the following flows of the hierarchy:

$$\partial_n^1 \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{1i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^n)_+ \partial_i \Psi = \hat{V}_{n+}^1 \Psi, \quad (29)$$

$$\partial_m^2 \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{2i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^m)_+ \partial_i \Psi = \hat{V}_{m+}^2 \Psi, \quad (30)$$

where $\hat{V}_n^1 = \sum_i (J_{1i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^n) \partial_i$, $\hat{V}_m^2 = \sum_i (J_{2i}^{-1}(\Psi^0)^m) \partial_i$ are vector fields, possessing the property

$$\hat{V}_n^1 \Psi^k = \delta_{1k} (\Psi^0)^n, \quad (31)$$

$$\hat{V}_m^2 \Psi^k = \delta_{2k} (\Psi^0)^m. \quad (32)$$

The condition of compatibility of the flows (29), (30) is

$$\partial_m^2 (\hat{V}_{n+}^1 \Psi) = \partial_n^1 (\hat{V}_{m+}^2 \Psi). \quad (33)$$

First, in a standard way,

$$\partial_m^2 (\hat{V}_{n+}^1 \Psi) - \partial_n^1 (\hat{V}_{m+}^2 \Psi) = \left(\partial_m^2 \hat{V}_{n+}^1 - \partial_n^1 \hat{V}_{m+}^2 + [\hat{V}_{n+}^1, \hat{V}_{m+}^2] \right) \Psi.$$

Relation $\hat{U}\Psi = 0$ for some vector field \hat{U} may be considered as a homogeneous linear system for the coefficients of the vector field \hat{U} with the matrix J (26). Thus $\hat{U}\Psi = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{U} = 0$, and condition (33) is equivalent to the usual form of compatibility condition,

$$\partial_m^2 \hat{V}_{n+}^1 - \partial_n^1 \hat{V}_{m+}^2 + [\hat{V}_{n+}^1, \hat{V}_{m+}^2] = 0. \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, using (31,32), we get

$$\partial_m^2 (\hat{V}_{n+}^1 \Psi) - \partial_n^1 (\hat{V}_{m+}^2 \Psi) = - \left(\partial_m^2 \hat{V}_{n-}^1 - \partial_n^1 \hat{V}_{m-}^2 - [\hat{V}_{n-}^1, \hat{V}_{m-}^2] \right) \Psi$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}\Psi &= (\hat{W}_+ + \hat{W}_-)\Psi = 0, \\ \hat{W}_+ &= \left(\partial_m^2 \hat{V}_{n+}^1 - \partial_n^1 \hat{V}_{m+}^2 + [\hat{V}_{n+}^1, \hat{V}_{m+}^2] \right) \\ \hat{W}_- &= \left(\partial_m^2 \hat{V}_{n-}^1 - \partial_n^1 \hat{V}_{m-}^2 - [\hat{V}_{n-}^1, \hat{V}_{m-}^2] \right), \end{aligned}$$

and we come to the conclusion that $\hat{W} = 0$, so, evidently, $\hat{W}_+ = \hat{W}_- = 0$, that proves compatibility condition (34).

The conservation of volume (26) by some flow $\partial_\tau \Psi = \sum_i V_{\tau+}^i \partial_i \Psi$ is equivalent to the zero divergence condition

$$\sum_i \partial_i V_{\tau+}^i = 0, \quad (35)$$

which can be checked directly starting from the definition of the hierarchy. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{1i}^{-1} &= - \sum_{j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \Psi^0 \partial_k \Psi^2, \\ J_{2i}^{-1} &= \sum_{j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j \Psi^0 \partial_k \Psi^1, \end{aligned}$$

and calculation of divergence (35) for any of the flows (24,25) leads to appearance of symmetry for a pair of indices under summation with completely antisymmetric symbol ϵ_{ijk} , giving zero as the result. \square

In a more explicit form, Dunajski equation hierarchy (24, 25) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n^1 \Psi = & + \left((\Psi^0)^n \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix} \right)_+ \partial_x \Psi - \left((\Psi^0)^n \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^2 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^2 \end{vmatrix} \right)_+ \partial_y \Psi - \\ & \left((\Psi^0)^n \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^2 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^2 \end{vmatrix} \right)_+ \partial_\lambda \Psi, \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_n^2 \Psi = - \left((\Psi^0)^n \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 \end{vmatrix} \right)_+ \partial_x \Psi + \left((\Psi^0)^n \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_\lambda^0 & \Psi_\lambda^1 \\ \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 \end{vmatrix} \right)_+ \partial_y \Psi + \left((\Psi^0)^n \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_x^0 & \Psi_x^1 \\ \Psi_y^0 & \Psi_y^1 \end{vmatrix} \right)_+ \partial_\lambda \Psi \quad (37)$$

(plus equation (26)). It is easy to check that for $\Psi^0 = \lambda$ Dunajski equation hierarchy reduces to heavenly equation hierarchy [10, 11], while for $\Psi^2 = y$ it reduces to dispersionless KP hierarchy. The first two flows of the hierarchy (36), (37) read

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1^1 \Psi &= (u_y \partial_x - u_x \partial_y + \lambda \partial_x - f_x \partial_\lambda) \Psi, \\ \partial_1^2 \Psi &= (v_x \partial_y - v_y \partial_x + \lambda \partial_y - f_y \partial_\lambda) \Psi, \end{aligned}$$

which yields Lax pair (4) after the identification $z = -t_1^1$, $w = t_1^2$. Here

$$u = \Psi_1^2, \quad v = \Psi_1^1, \quad f = \Psi_1^0,$$

and condition $\det J = 1$ (26) implies that $u_y + v_x = 0$.

3.1 Related hierarchies

Formula (26) defines a reduction for equations (24), (25). The general hierarchy in the unreduced case is given by equations (24), (25),

$$\partial_n^1 \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{1i}^{-1} (\Psi^0)^n)_+ \partial_i \Psi, \quad (38)$$

$$\partial_n^2 \Psi = \sum_{i=0,1,2} (J_{2i}^{-1} (\Psi^0)^n)_+ \partial_i \Psi, \quad (39)$$

and the analogue of relation (23) is

$$((\det J)^{-1} d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge d\Psi^2)_- = 0. \quad (40)$$

For the unreduced hierarchy the propositions formulated above and their proofs are completely analogous. The hierarchy may also be considered for arbitrary number of components Ψ^0, Ψ^i .

Two-component case of relation (40)

$$((\det J)^{-1} d\Psi^0 \wedge d\Psi^1)_- = 0 \quad (41)$$

and corresponding equations (38) define a hierarchy for the system introduced in [14] (dispersionless KP minus area conservation). If $\det J = 1$, relation (41) defines dispersionless KP hierarchy.

A special subclass of the hierarchies of the type (40), (23) is singled out by the condition $\Psi^0 = \lambda$. In this case (23) is transformed to the relation

$$(d\lambda \wedge d\Psi^1 \wedge d\Psi^2)_- = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(\tilde{d}\Psi^1 \wedge \tilde{d}\Psi^2)_- = 0, \tag{42}$$

where the differential \tilde{d} takes into account only times (and not a spectral variable). As it is known, relation (42) defines Plebanski second heavenly equation hierarchy [10, 11] (see also [16, 17]). A two-component case of (40) (relation (41)) under the condition $\Psi^0 = \lambda$ reduces to

$$((\Psi_x^1)^{-1} \tilde{d}\Psi^1)_- = 0.$$

This relation defines a hierarchy considered in [19], corresponding equations (38) define a system of (positive) flows of this hierarchy.

Acknowledgment

The authors were partially supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant no. 06-01-90840 and grant no. 06.01 CRF CSTD AS Moldova. LVB and SVM were also supported in part by RFBR grants 07-01-00446 and 06-01-92053 and LVB was supported in part by RFBR grant 06-01-89507.

References

- [1] L.V. Bogdanov, V.S. Dryuma, S.V. Manakov, On the dressing method for Dunajski anti-self-duality equation, *nlin/0612046* (2006)
- [2] M.Dunajski, *Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond.A* **458**, 1205 (2002)
- [3] J.F. Plebański, *J. Math. Phys.* **16** 2395–2402 (1975)
- [4] R. Penrose, *Gen. Rel. Grav* **7**, 31–52 (1976)
- [5] M. Dunajski, L.J. Mason, *Comm. Math. Phys* **213**, 641–672 (2000)
- [6] M. Dunajski, L.J. Mason and K.P. Tod, *J. Geom. Phys.* **37**, 63–92 (2001)

- [7] M. Dunajski, *J. Geom. Phys.* **51**, 126–137 (2004)
- [8] Q-H. Park, *Phys. Lett. B* **269**, 271–274 (1991)
- [9] K. Takasaki, *Phys. Lett. B* **285**, 187–190 (1992)
- [10] K. Takasaki, *J. Math. Phys.* **30**(7), 1515–1521 (1989)
- [11] K. Takasaki, *J. Math. Phys.* **31**(8), 1877–1888 (1989)
- [12] V. Dryuma, in: A.B.Shabat et al.(eds.), *New trends in Integrability and Partial Solvability*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 115–156, (2004)
- [13] S.V. Manakov and P.M. Santini *Phys. Lett. A* **359**(6), 613–619 (2006)
- [14] S.V. Manakov and P.M. Santini, *Pis'ma v ZhETP* **83**(10), 534–538 (2006)
- [15] S.V. Manakov and P.M. Santini, A hierarchy of integrable PDEs in 2+1 dimensions associated with 2 - dimensional vector fields, [nlin/0611047](#) (2006)
- [16] L.V. Bogdanov and B.G. Konopelchenko, *Phys. Lett. A* **345**(1-3), 137–143 (2005)
- [17] L.V. Bogdanov and B.G. Konopelchenko, *J.Phys A* **39**(38), 11793 (2006)
- [18] P. Guha, *Class. Quant. Gravity* **14**, L37–L43 (1997)
- [19] L. Martinez Alonso and A. B. Shabat, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **140**(2), 1073–1085 (2004)